

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/781,809	02/20/2004	Etsuyoshi Kobori	60188-783	60188-783 3942	
7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER PHAM, HOAI V			
Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/781,809	KOBORI, ETSUYOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoai v. Pham	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	oril 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5-10 are subject to restriction and/or expending the subject to restriction and subj	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/20/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-4 in the reply filed on April 19, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification |

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 10-11, the limitation "wherein the widthwise size of each dummy pattern is **four times or less** of the depth of each trench" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear how the widthwise size of each dummy pattern is defined as being compared to the depth of each trench — the widthwise size of each dummy pattern is greater than **four times** of the depth of each trench or the

Application/Control Number: 10/781,809

Art Unit: 2814

widthwise size of each dummy pattern is less than **four times** of the depth of each trench--.

Claim 4, the limitation "the proportion of the dummy patterns in the isolation regions in plan view is between or equal to 15% and 80%" renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear that "15% and 80%" to define the proportion of the dummy patterns is the percentage of the proportion of the dummy patterns as compared to which element --total of the substrate, the active areas or the isolation--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsutsumi [U.S. Pat. 5,789,792].

With respect to claim 1, Tsutsumi discloses (fig. 1, cols. 8-9) a semiconductor device comprising:

a substrate (1) which has an actual element region (transistor formation region) including active areas and has a dummy pattern region (element isolation region) including dummy patterns, and in which trenches (1a, 1b) are formed in the actual element region and the dummy pattern region;

Application/Control Number: 10/781,809

Art Unit: 2814

semiconductor elements (7) provided over the active areas of the substrate (1);

a first embedded insulating film (15), provided in the trenches within the actual element region, for isolating the semiconductor elements adjacent to each other; and

a second embedded insulating film (15), provided in the trenches within the dummy pattern region, for surrounding the dummy patterns, wherein the widthwise size (W_1) of each dummy pattern is greater than four times of the depth (D_1) of each trench (col. 9, lines 8-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi [U.S. Pat. 5,789,792].

Tsutsumi substantially discloses all the limitations as claimed above except the size range of the widthwise size of the dummy pattern and the percent range of the isolation, as claimed by Applicant. However, the size range and the percent range would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan practicing the invention because, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving

Application/Control Number: 10/781,809 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai v. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,809

Art Unit: 2814

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6